UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,833	09/22/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14286US02	1002
	7590 03/18/200 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MA	DISON STREET	CHEEMA, UMAR		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2144	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/667,833	KARAOGUZ ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
UMAR CHEEMA	2144		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			UMAR CHEEMA	2144	
Line The reply was filled after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evides, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a		The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (10 to 1.1 is checked, check either box (6) or (1), ONLY CHECK BOX (6) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS for may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee as the file in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if simely filed, may reduce any examed patent term duglishment. Set 37 CFR 1.036(a) and the replication date of the southered statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action, or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if simely filed, any reduce any examed patent term daughtsment. Set 37 CFR 1.036(a) and the filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal was been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(e), or a	THE RE	PLY FILED 29 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
the period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than \$N\$ MONTHS form the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL RELECTION See MPEP 706 0710. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee is use been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et offin in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). SOTICE OF APPEAL. If the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37(a) to avoid dismissal of the appeals. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENDMENTS	ар ар for	olication, applicant must timely file one of the following rollication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affida al (with appeal fee) in complianc	vit, or other evidence, we e with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee moter 37 CFR 1.7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorthend statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4b). OTICE OF APPEAL	a) 🗀	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for tter than SIX MONTHS from the mail b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TI	ing date of the final rejection	n.
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENDMENTS In the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	have beer under 37 set forth in may redu	n filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s n (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amour hortened statutory period for reply or	nt of the fee. The appropria iginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
(a)	2. The filir	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter tice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: SEFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE In affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	(a) (b) (c)	☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see No w); er form for appeal by materially i	OTE below); educing or simplifying tl	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE In the affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.		NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			PTOL-324)
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-48. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	5.	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). D. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). D. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	7. Fo hov Th Cla Cla Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [w the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to: aim(s) rejected: 1-48.		vill be entered and an e	xplanation of
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	be	cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	en	tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
See Continuation Sheet. 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	REQUES	ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
3. Other: IDS will be considered.	<u>s</u>	ee Continuation Sheet.			ce because.
			,		
/William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2144		_			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Lu and Cohen does not teach or suggest all of the limitations of claims and therefore does not establish prima facie case of anticipation or obviousness. However it is Examiner's position that Lu teaches or suggests "server software (see figure 3, EPG server 304) that maintains a user defined association of the first and second network protocol addresses, that receives a request (see search topic from PVR 200) that identifies one of the associated first and second network protocol addresses (see col. 10, lines 10-15, each PVR is associated with an IP address, figure 5) and responds by identifying the other of the associated first and second network protocol addresses to support delivery via the communication network of the 3rd party media from the at least one server (see figure 5-6, col. 6, lines 39-61, users associated with IP addresses of PVRs), and the first media from the first storage, to the second home, and the 3rd party media from the at least one server, to the first home, for concurrent consumption of the 3rd party media by the first television display, and the 3rd party media and the first media by the second television display (see figure 3, display 212 of PVR 200; col. 6, lines 21-28)." Thus it is the Examiner's position that the 35 U.S.C 102(e) Rejection is proper. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The prior art in record overcomes claimed invention.

it is Examiner's position that Lu in view of Cohen teaches or suggests the limitations of given claims for the reason given above. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, In this case Lu teaches and suggests the method and system for providing media from remote locations to a viewer (see abstract, title) and Cohen teaches or suggests wireless digital camera adapter and system and method related thereto and for use with such an adapter (see title, abstract). Thus it is the Examiner's position that the 35 U.S.C 103(a) rejection is proper and motivation is suggested within the prior art.